



Please note that, although every effort has been made to ensure this translation is accurate and consistent, it is for informational purposes only. In case of any dispute or inconsistencies, the Dutch version is authentic.

DECISION

Our reference: ACM/DE/2016/207763

Case number: 16.1267.52

**Decision setting the maximum production price
of electricity for the 2017 calendar year for:**

St. Eustatius Utility Company NV

Determination by the Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets of the maximum electricity production price as referred to in Article 2.5, paragraph 1, of the BES Electricity and Drinking Water Act.



1 Contents

1	Contents	2
2	Introduction and reader's guide	3
3	Context of this decision	4
4	Connection with other decisions	6
4.1	From method decision to production price and rate decision	6
4.2	The STUCO production price decision	8
5	Legal protection	10
5.1	What does this mean?	10
5.2	Direct judicial appeal	11
5.3	... or first an administrative appeal filed with ACM	11
6	Setting of the STUCO production price	13
6.1	Determining the costs	13
6.1.1	The capital costs	13
6.1.2	The operating costs	14
6.1.3	Additional costs and other revenues	15
6.1.4	The calculation of fuel costs	15
6.1.5	Total costs	16
6.2	Determining the permitted revenues	17
6.3	Setting the maximum production price	17
6.4	Retrospective determination of differences	18
7	PROVISIONS	19
	Annex 1: Calculation model	20



2 Introduction and reader's guide

1. By means of this production price decision the Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets (hereinafter: ACM) implements Article 2.5, paragraph 1, of the BES Electricity and Drinking Water Act.¹ Under that article ACM is required on 1 January of each year, on the proposal of a producer, to set the maximum production price which that producer will charge a distributor for the electricity he produces.
2. St. Eustatius Utility Company NV (hereinafter: STUCO) is an electricity producer on Sint Eustatius. STUCO produces electricity using generators and solar energy using a solar farm.
3. In this decision ACM sets the maximum electricity production price which STUCO will charge for the 2017 calendar year as an internal transfer price for electricity.
4. This decision consists of a number of sections. Section 3 provides the context of this decision. Section 4 sets out the connection with other decisions. Section 5 is devoted to legal protection. Section 6 sets out successively the costs, revenues and the maximum production price of STUCO. That section also states how ACM will determine any differences retrospectively. This decision also includes an annex, namely the Excel file 'Calculation Model for STUCO Electricity Production Price 2017'. That annex is published on the ACM website (www.acm.nl) and forms an integral part of the decision.
5. This decision comes into force on 1 January 2017.

¹ Law of 23 March 2016, containing rules on the production and distribution of electricity and drinking water on Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba (*BES Electricity and Drinking Water Act*), *Stb.* 2016, 142.



3 Context of this decision

6. The BES Electricity and Drinking Water Act aims to ensure reliable, sustainable and affordable supplies of electricity and drinking water on Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba.² This is achieved in part through rate regulation.
7. Under Article 2.5 of the BES Electricity and Drinking Water Act ACM's responsibilities include setting a maximum production price for electricity and drinking water and a maximum distribution rate for electricity and drinking water. The production price is charged by the producer to the distributor. The distribution rate is charged by the distributor to the end-user (consumers and business customers).
8. The legislature has three objectives with the rate regulation legally entrusted to ACM. The first objective is consumer protection. Since end-users in the Caribbean Netherlands cannot negotiate on the price of electricity or drinking water and because they are not free to choose the company from which they purchase their electricity or drinking water, the maximum rates for those services are set by ACM.
9. The second objective of the rate regulation is investor protection. A stable and more predictable regulation climate enables the company to make the necessary investments in the infrastructure and production capacity.
10. The third and final objective is the productive efficiency of the company. This enables services of sufficient quality to be provided at the lowest possible cost.
11. The legislature uses cost orientation as its starting point in the rate regulation entrusted to ACM. That means electricity and drinking water rates are based solely on the costs of the company which produces and distributes those supplies.
12. A producer and a distributor of electricity have an interest in ensuring that they can recoup the efficient costs (including a reasonable return) which they incur in order to fulfil their statutory duties. A lack of competition could result in a producer and a distributor having insufficiently efficient operations, charging excessively high rates or, in the case of a distributor, discriminating between different types of end-user. End-users could be disadvantaged in such cases. End-users therefore benefit from the promotion of efficiency in business practice.

² *Parliamentary papers II*, 2014-15, 34089, 3, p. 1.



13. The legislature has therefore entrusted ACM with the task of establishing a system of regulation that provides an incentive for both the producer and the distributor to operate just as efficiently as companies which face competition and to improve both quality and efficiency.
14. Since STUCO has a monopoly position on Sint Eustatius, in this decision ACM sets the maximum production price of electricity per kilowatt hour which STUCO will charge for the 2017 calendar year as an internal transfer price for electricity.
15. The aim of the regulation system is to prevent STUCO charging an unreasonably high internal transfer price for the production of electricity. It is also important that STUCO can recoup the efficient costs which it incurs in the production of electricity. If STUCO is reimbursed for the efficient costs (including a reasonable return), the necessary investments in quality, and hence the security of supply of electricity, will not be endangered.



4 Connection with other decisions

16. Every year ACM issues separate decisions setting the maximum production price which a producer of electricity and drinking water is permitted to charge a distributor of electricity and drinking water. ACM also sets the maximum distribution rate which a distributor is permitted to charge end-users.
17. In this section ACM describes how the 2017 production prices relate to the method decision that establishes the regulation system.

4.1 From method decision to production price and rate decision

18. ACM's power to adopt a production price decision and a distribution rate decision results from Article 2.5, paragraph 1, and Article 3.14, paragraph 1, of the BES Electricity and Drinking Water Act.
19. In order to set a production price and a distribution rate ACM must apply a method. This method describes how the costs of a business lead to a rate for the consumer. The legal basis of this method also follows from Article 2.5, paragraph 4, and Article 3.14, paragraph 5, of the BES Electricity and Drinking Water Act.

"Article 2.5

1. *On 1 January of each year, on the proposal of a producer, the Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets sets the maximum production price which this producer will charge a distributor for the electricity or drinking water which it produces.*
2. *The production price for electricity or drinking water is based on the actual costs of production, allowing for a reasonable return, and includes the operating and maintenance expenses, energy costs and capital expenses.*
3. *Notwithstanding the first paragraph, the energy costs may be set as a monthly variable part of the production price.*
4. *When setting the production price, the Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets will adopt a method which promotes efficient business practice.*
5. (...)
6. (...)
7. *By way of a ministerial decree, more specific rules are set with regard to the procedure and elements and the method used to calculate the production price, as referred to in this article.*



Article 3.14

1. On the proposal of a distributor, the Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets sets the maximum rates which the distributor will charge the end-users for the distribution of electricity or drinking water.

2. There are four distinct rates:

- a. connection rate;*
- b. fixed use rate;*
- c. variable use rate;*
- d. road transportation rate for drinking water.*

3. The rates may differ for different categories of end-user.

4. The rates are non-discriminatory, transparent and based on the actual costs, allowing for a reasonable return and taking into account the subsidy, as referred to in Article 5.1.

5. In setting the rates, the Netherlands Authority for Consumers adopts a method which promotes efficient business practice.

6. The rates come into force on a date to be specified by the Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets and apply until 1 January of the year following the date of entry into force of the decision setting of the rates with the exception of the variable use rate, which may be set on 1 January and 1 July of each calendar year.

7. If on 1 January the rates for that year have not yet been set, the most recently set rates will remain in force up to the date of entry into force of the decision setting the rates for the following year.

8. By way of a ministerial decree, more specific rules are set with regard to the procedure and elements and the method used to calculate the rates, as referred to in this article.”

20. The decree referred to in the above articles is the Ministerial Decree on Electricity and Drinking Water in the BES Islands³. Article 2.1 of that decree specifies more detailed requirements with regard to the decision method referred to above:

“Article 2.1

1. After consultation with stakeholders the Authority for Consumers and Markets adopts a method as referred to in Article 2.5, paragraph 4, and Article 3.14, paragraph 5, of the Act for a period of three to ten years.

³ Decree of the Ministry of Economic Affairs of 10 June 2016, no. WJZ/15003661, containing rules on the production and distribution of electricity and drinking water on Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba. *Government Gazette* 2016, no. 33268.



2. The method describes how the production price and the rates are set, in such a way that the method encourages efficient business practices by the producer and the distributor, provides a normal economic return and a reliable, affordable and sustainable supply of energy and drinking water.

3. The method specifies at least the method used to determine the expected efficient costs and to that end the method used to determine the normal economic return.

4. The method lays down the way in which the energy costs are determined as part of the production price.

5. Three months before the intended start date of an amendment to the production price or the rates, a producer or distributor must submit a proposal to that effect to the Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets.”

21. After consultation with stakeholders, comprising the various producers, distributors and end-user organizations in the Caribbean Netherlands, ACM adopted the “Method for setting the rates for the production and distribution of electricity and drinking water in the Caribbean Netherlands 2017-2019” (hereinafter: method decision) on 30 September 2016. On 17 November 2016, ACM, also after consultation with stakeholders, adopted the method for the so-called Weighted Average Cost of Capital (hereinafter: WACC method), the permitted reasonable return for the companies concerned. The WACC method is an annex to the aforementioned method decision, of which it forms an integral part. ACM has published both methods on its website.
22. The method decision applies for a period of three calendar years, from 1 January 2017 up to and including 31 December 2019.
23. The Act and the Ministerial Decree form the basis of the method decision. The method decision then forms the basis of the production price decision and the distribution rate decision.

4.2 The STUCO production price decision

24. On the basis of Article 2.1, paragraph 5, of the Ministerial Decree on Electricity and Drinking Water in the BES Islands a producer must submit an appropriate proposal to ACM three months before the intended start date of the production price amendment.
25. In its external communication and also in the discussions with STUCO, ACM stated that 1 January 2017 was the intended start date of the new rates. The date on which STUCO should have submitted a production price proposal to ACM was therefore 1 October 2016.
26. In this case, however, that date proved not to be reasonable. An additional factor is that the period between consultation on the draft method and the draft WACC method (July and August



2016) and the adoption of both methods was so short that STUCO could not reasonably be expected to submit a production price proposal to ACM on 1 October 2016. A further factor is that on 1 October 2016 STUCO could not yet have a clear idea of the requirements which a production price proposal had to fulfil. In this case due care entailed non-compliance with the three-month period.

27. The way in which the production price decision comes about and what data are required for it from STUCO did nevertheless become clear after 1 October 2016. During that period ACM and STUCO worked closely together to gain insight into the costs incurred by STUCO which could be involved in the rate regulation. STUCO supplied the requested data to ACM with an accompanying explanation.
28. ACM then incorporated the data received from STUCO in a calculation model, which ACM supplied to STUCO. STUCO then asked questions relating to it and also provided more detailed documents. ACM provided a further explanation to STUCO representatives at various times. At the beginning of December 2016 the production price proposal referred to in the Ministerial Decree then came into existence.

The process for determining the production price on 1 January 2018

29. To determine the production price on 1 January 2018 ACM will enter into work agreements in consultation with STUCO enabling both STUCO and ACM to comply with the three-month period referred to in the ministerial decree.



5 Legal protection

30. In this section ACM describes the legal possibilities which stakeholders have to challenge the production price decision or the distribution rate decision. To that end ACM describes the applicable laws and procedural law.
31. Article 3, paragraph 1, heading and part a, of the Bonaire, St. Eustatius and Saba Public Entities Implementation Act specifies that the General Administrative Law Act, excepting Chapter 9, does not apply to the decisions and actions of administrative bodies established in the European part of the Netherlands for the implementation of legislation that applies only within the public entities.
32. Pursuant to Article 3, paragraph 2, of the Bonaire, St. Eustatius and Saba Public Entities Implementation Act, in the cases referred to in paragraph 1, the BES Administrative Justice Act (War-BES) applies insofar as decisions in the sense of that act are concerned.
33. On the basis of Article 3, paragraph 1, of the BES Administrative Justice Act, a decision is a written decision by an administrative body which is a legal act under public law and which is not of general scope.
34. Pursuant to Article 7, paragraph 1, of the BES Administrative Justice Act, natural persons and legal persons whose interests were directly affected by a decision can appeal against it to the Court of First Instance of Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba (the Court).
35. Based on Article 9, paragraph 1, of the BES Administrative Justice Act, an appeal can be made against a decision on the grounds that the decision conflicts with a generally binding provision or general principle of law.
36. Based on Article 55 of the BES Administrative Justice Act, natural persons and legal persons as referred to in Article 7, paragraph 1, of the BES Administrative Justice Act are authorized to lodge a complaint with ACM to protest the decision, and appeal to the Court after ACM has made a decision pertaining to the complaint.

5.1 What does this mean?

37. ACM is established in the European part of the Netherlands and its responsibility is to ensure compliance with the BES Electricity and Drinking Water Act. This Act only applies to the public entities of Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba. For this reason, the BES Administrative Justice Act (instead of the General Administrative Law Act) applies to ACM's decisions pertaining to the implementation of the Act.



38. Natural persons and legal persons (people and companies) whose interests are directly affected by this decision (stakeholders) can directly file a judicial appeal against this decision or may first file an administrative appeal with ACM.
39. In order to be a stakeholder, the party must have its own sufficiently objective, personal or individual, direct and current interest (i.e. distinguishable from the interests of others). ACM will assess whether this is the case if natural persons or legal persons challenge this decision.

5.2 Direct judicial appeal...

40. Stakeholders can lodge a judicial appeal directly. A substantiated appeal must be submitted to the registry of the Court no later than six weeks after this decision was sent or issued.
41. Stakeholders established on Saba or Sint Eustatius must submit their appeal in duplicate to the registry of the Court on Sint Maarten. The address of that Registry is: Frontstreet 58 (The Courthouse), Philipsburg, Sint Maarten.
42. Stakeholders established on Bonaire must submit their appeal in duplicate to the Registry of the Court on Bonaire. The address of that Registry is: Plasa Reina Wilhelmina (Fort Oranje), Kralendijk, Bonaire

5.3 ... or first an administrative appeal filed with ACM

43. Stakeholders may also choose first to submit an administrative appeal to ACM.
44. A substantiated administrative appeal must then be submitted to ACM no later than six weeks after this decision was sent or issued. The address is Kaya Internashonal z/n, P.O. Box 357, Kralendijk, Bonaire.
45. Stakeholders on Bonaire, Saba and Sint Eustatius can submit their administrative appeal to ACM by e-mail. The appeal must then be sent to: marga.buys@acm.nl and/or laurens.jorg@acm.nl. ACM will send a confirmation of receipt. If the submitter of the appeal receives no confirmation of receipt from ACM, ACM urges the submitter to contact ACM on Bonaire by telephone: +599 781 0084 and/or +599 781 0281.
46. Stakeholders on Saba and Sint Eustatius who do not have an internet connection can submit the appeal in writing to the local office of Rijksdienst Caribisch Nederland (National Office for the Caribbean Netherlands) on their island.



On Saba the address is: The Bottom

On Sint Eustatius the address is: Mazinga Complex A, B, Fort Oranjestraat, Oranjestad.

47. After ACM has taken a decision on an administrative appeal, natural persons and legal persons who do not agree with it can file a judicial appeal.
48. The judicial or administrative appeal may also include arguments against the method decision of 30 September 2016 adopted by ACM and the WACC method of 17 November 2016 forming part of it.



6 Setting of the STUCO production price

49. As stated in section 5.2 of the regulation method of 30 September 2016, ACM takes a number of steps in setting the production price.
- Step 1: Determining the costs;
 - Step 2: Determining how those costs lead to revenues;
 - Step 3: Determining how those revenues lead to rates;
 - Step 4: Determining how any differences between costs and revenues are subsequently settled.
50. ACM describes the above four steps in this section of this production price decision.

6.1 Determining the costs

51. STUCO's costs consist of capital costs and operating costs. Capital costs comprise depreciation and a reasonable return. Operating costs are costs incurred by a company to keep the business operating, such as personnel costs. ACM bases its cost determination for the setting of the production price on the 2015 costs, as recorded in STUCO's financial statements, supplemented with additional information on the operating costs and assets which STUCO has sent to ACM.

6.1.1 The capital costs

52. In order to determine STUCO's capital costs, ACM must first determine the regulatory value of STUCO's assets. We call this the regulatory asset value (RAV).
53. STUCO's regulatory assets consist of the fixed assets which STUCO uses as a producer of electricity and which it requires for its business operation. They concern land and buildings, machines, including the diesel motors and generators, vehicles, back-up facilities and measurement and control equipment. They also include the part of the indirect assets (mainly inventory) allocated to the production of electricity.
54. The depreciation of the fixed assets and a reasonable return on the regulatory asset value make up the capital costs. To determine the RAV and depreciation, ACM uses the detailed overview which STUCO has sent to ACM with information on all the assets owned by STUCO. These are to a large extent assets acquired from GEBE at the end of 2013; the investments in 2014 and 2015 were made by STUCO itself.



55. ACM bases its determination of the regulatory value of the fixed assets on the historical cost which companies enter in their financial records (including the known data from GEBE). By reducing the historical cost by the amount of accumulated appreciation, ACM arrives at the regulatory asset value as at 31-12-2015.
56. ACM determines the annual depreciation by applying the depreciation periods used by STUCO. ACM chooses not to take account of any residual value (the estimated amount which STUCO receives for the sale of the assets at the end of the expected lifetime). That is because STUCO must be able to recoup past efficient investments in full through its rates. ACM does, however, take account of actual proceeds of asset sales.
57. This excludes one-off writedowns resulting from the accelerated depreciation or divestment of an asset, because such one-off writedowns are not considered representative of depreciation charges in 2017.
58. If an asset has been financed with a subsidy or contributions from third parties, the historical cost is reduced by the amount of that subsidy and/or contribution. STUCO has received a subsidy from the Ministry of Economic Affairs for the installation of the solar farm. That means the assets which were installed with this subsidy will not form part of the RAV, since these have already been paid for.
59. ACM does not include assets under construction in determining the RAV. An asset only forms part of the RAV if it has been taken into use. Companies are permitted to capitalize the construction interest on assets under construction.
60. Having regard to the foregoing, ACM has arrived at an RAV for the production of electricity by STUCO of USD 2,941,621 at the end of 2015, with 2015 depreciation of USD 235,845
61. ACM calculates the reasonable return by multiplying the RAV by the WACC which ACM has determined for 2017, namely 6.74%⁴. The result of that is USD198,265. ACM adds to that amount USD 235,845 of depreciation. The total capital costs thus amount to USD 434,110.

6.1.2 The operating costs

62. The operating costs also form part of STUCO's regulatory costs. ACM based those costs on the 2015 financial statements supplied by STUCO. STUCO has stated in a detailed overview of the

⁴ Calculating the WACC for energy and water companies in the Caribbean Netherlands, ACM/DE/2016/206939, marginal 7 (electricity & water combined)



operating costs which direct costs it has incurred for the production of electricity. ACM has adopted this allocation, with the exception of the direct allocation of the personnel costs to production and distribution.

63. In the case of the personnel costs, ACM has chosen a different allocation ratio because the ratio supplied by STUCO is not consistent with the activities performed. STUCO has, however, used a logical allocation ratio in the budget which it provided for 2014. ACM has therefore chosen to use this allocation ratio in order to allocate the personnel costs in 2015 between production and distribution.
64. STUCO also has overheads, which it has not yet allocated to electricity or drinking water. To allocate these indirect costs, ACM uses the allocation ratio on the basis of which the direct costs have been allocated among the various activities.
65. As operating costs for the production of electricity ACM takes into account an amount of USD 1,511,747.

6.1.3 Additional costs and other revenues

66. In the method decision for the Caribbean Netherlands, ACM included the possibility of allowing for additional costs in the rates if they would be demonstrably incurred in 2017 but were not yet included in the financial statements in 2015. No additional costs have to be included in STUCO's electricity production price.
67. Finally, ACM takes account of any other STUCO revenues from activities for which ACM sets no rate. The costs of these other activities must be kept wholly outside the rate regulation, because otherwise they might be reimbursed twice. STUCO has no large-scale activities which affect the regulatory cost base for the production of electricity. STUCO did nevertheless have a small amount of other revenues in 2015 (USD 960); these have been deducted from the regulatory cost base.

6.1.4 The calculation of fuel costs

68. As ACM has stated in the method decision, the production price of electricity includes an energy cost component. That component comprises the costs of fuel. ACM determines the fuel costs as a monthly variable part of the production price.
69. The data which ACM takes into account in calculating the fuel costs are as follows:



- The expected technical yield (how many kWh STUCO can produce with one litre of fuel, taking into account the amount of electricity which is generated with fuel compared to the electricity generated with solar energy);
- The most recent fuel price

70. The fuel costs are then calculated on the basis of the following formula:

$$\text{Fuel costs}_{\text{month } t} = \text{Technical yield} * \text{fuel price}_{\text{most recent}}$$

71. ACM takes the most recent fuel price to mean the price per litre which STUCO pays for fuel used in month t in accordance with the most recently concluded purchase agreement for that fuel.
72. STUCO's technical yield amounts to 3.717 kWh per litre of fuel.
73. In applying the above formula STUCO is permitted to adjust the fuel costs monthly in 2017 as an internal transfer price. STUCO is required to keep an up-to-date record in its internal administration of the fuel price and the amounts of fuel which STUCO has used each month. When appropriate, ACM may also request the purchase agreements and paid invoices from STUCO.

6.1.5 Total costs

74. In section 6.1.1 the total capital costs are determined to be USD 434,110. The total operating costs are determined in section 6.1.2 to be USD 1,511,747. The total costs thus amount to USD 1,945,857. These costs are at the 2015 price level.



6.2 Determining the permitted revenues

75. The previous section states STUCO's (efficient) costs. In this section ACM determines STUCO's permitted revenues.
76. The permitted revenues are equivalent to the costs. In order to calculate the revenues for 2017, the total costs for 2015 must be adjusted for inflation. For these figures ACM uses data from Statistics Netherlands. For the inflation correction in year t ACM uses the percentage difference in the consumer price index for Bonaire between the third quarter of year $t-1$ and the third quarter of year $t-2$. The estimated (expected) inflation which ACM calculates in this way for 2016 amounts to -0.5%, and that for 2017 amounts to -1.0%. The calculation is included in the calculation model in the annex.
77. The total permitted revenues for 2017 after inflation adjustment amount to USD 1,915,821.

6.3 Setting the maximum production price

78. The previous section states STUCO's permitted 2017 revenues. In this section ACM sets the maximum production price per kWh which STUCO is permitted to charge end-users in 2017.
79. The total permitted revenues for 2017 amount to USD 1,915,821. The estimated production is required in order to set the production price per kWh. This is 13,904,000 kWh, based on the estimate of the production volume for 2017. Of this volume, 10,704,000 kWh consists of production with diesel and other generators and 3,200,000 kWh consists of production from the solar farm.
80. The production costs per kWh thus amount to USD 0.1378/kWh. This excludes fuel for the diesel and other generators. STUCO is permitted to charge the fuel costs in accordance with the formula included in marginal 47.
81. For January 2017 the fuel costs amount to USD 0.1263/kWh. For this purpose ACM uses the paid fuel price per litre from the most recently concluded purchase agreement for that fuel, in this case November 2016, namely USD 0.6100/kWh.
82. The total maximum production price for STUCO for January 2017 therefore amounts to USD 0.2641/kWh including fuel.



6.4 Retrospective determination of differences

83. In section 5.2 of the method ACM explains how it deals with differences between the determined permitted revenues and the actual costs incurred. In the operation of the chosen 'profit-sharing' methodology, the permitted revenues for a particular year are determined in advance and the difference between those revenues and the costs incurred by STUCO in that year is then determined retrospectively. ACM sets part of that difference, namely 50%, against the revenues for the next calendar year. The remainder is for the account of the company, and is a profit or loss (depending on the aforementioned difference).
84. This retrospective examination (the difference analysis) for 2017 is possible at the earliest in 2018, when STUCO's actual costs are revealed by the independent audit of the 2017 financial statements.
85. ACM has stated in the method that this retrospective examination includes a correction for estimation errors. Specifically for the production of electricity by STUCO, this concerns the following estimates:
- Estimate of production volume from generators: 10,704,000 kWh
 - Estimate of production volume from sun: 3,200,000 kWh
86. If these estimates turn out higher or lower, ACM will apply a correction before examining whether STUCO incurred higher or lower costs than previously estimated.
87. In so doing ACM takes account of expected production with the solar farm based on the actual number of hours of sunshine. ACM uses the technical yield applying to the solar farm for this purpose.
88. On the basis of the actual number of hours of sunshine in 2017, ACM will examine in 2018 whether the production which STUCO achieves with the solar farm is in line with this figure. ACM will incorporate any differences in the calculation of the 2019 production price.



7 PROVISIONS

89. On the basis of Article 2.5, paragraph 1, of the BES Electricity and Drinking Water Act, the Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets sets the maximum production price of electricity which St. Eustatius Utility Company NV will apply as an internal transfer price for electricity in the 2017 calendar year.
90. The Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets sets that production price at USD 0.1378/kWh excluding the fuel component. ACM determines the fuel component in USD/kWh as follows: Fuel costs_{month} = Technical yield * fuel price_{most recent}
91. This decision and its annex will be announced in the Government Gazette. The Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets will also publish this decision on its website (www.acm.nl).
92. This decision comes into force on 1 January 2017.

The Hague,

Date: 16 December 2016

Authority for Consumers and Markets,
on its behalf,

F.J.H. Don
board member



Filing a judicial or administrative appeal against this decision

Judicial appeal

Natural persons and legal persons whose interests have been directly affected by this decision may file a judicial appeal no later than six weeks after this decision was sent or issued.

Stakeholders established on Saba or Sint Eustatius must submit their appeal in duplicate to the registry of the Court on Sint Maarten. The address of that Registry is: Frontstreet 58 (The Courthouse), Philipsburg, Sint Maarten.

Stakeholders established on Bonaire must submit their appeal in duplicate to the Registry of the Court on Bonaire. The address of that Registry is: Plasa Reina Wilhelmina (Fort Oranje), Kralendijk, Bonaire.

An administrative appeal can also be filed first with ACM

Natural persons and legal persons whose interests have been directly affected by these decisions may also first file an administrative appeal against this decision. A substantiated administrative appeal can be submitted to ACM no later than six weeks after this decision was sent or issued.

Submitting an administrative appeal to ACM on Bonaire

Stakeholders on Bonaire can submit their administrative appeal by post to ACM or deliver it to ACM in person. The address is Kaya Internashonal z/n, P.O. Box 357, Kralendijk, Bonaire.

Submitting an administrative appeal by e-mail

Stakeholders on Bonaire, Saba and Sint Eustatius can submit their administrative appeal to ACM by e-mail. The appeal must then be sent to: marga.buys@acm.nl and/or laurens.jorg@acm.nl. ACM will send a confirmation of receipt. If the submitter of the appeal receives no confirmation of receipt from ACM, ACM urges the submitter to contact ACM on Bonaire by telephone: +599 781 0084 and/or +599 781 0281.

Submitting an administrative appeal at the local office of Rijksdienst Caribisch Nederland on Saba and Sint Eustatius

Stakeholders on Saba and Sint Eustatius who have no internet connection can also submit the appeal in writing to the local office of Rijksdienst Caribisch Nederland on their island.

Saba: The Bottom

Sint Eustatius: Mazinga Complex A, B, Fort Oranjestraat, Oranjestad.



ACM has published on its website (www.acm.nl) the Excel file “Calculation Model for STUCO Electricity Price 2017” including the calculation model containing the calculation of the maximum production price for STUCO. This file has been published as an annex to this decision, but forms an integral part of it and can be found on the publication page of this decision at www.acm.nl.